I. Call to order 3:35 pm

II. Roll call

YES Luz Lumb, Recreation, Surfrider Foundation-Coastal Bend, CARP Chair
NO Patrick Foley, Recreation, Corpus Christi Windsurfing Association
YES Danielle Converse, City of Corpus Christi, Stormwater
YES Sharon Lewis, City of Corpus Christi Environmental Services for Bill Green, City of Corpus Christi
YES Joe Trejo, City of Corpus Christi, Wastewater
YES Jace Tunnell, Environmental
YES Jim Klein, Environmental
NO Jim Needham, Tourism, Convention and Visitors Bureau
YES Tim Stephens, Business/Industry, for Bob Blair, Business/Industry
YES Kelly White, Academia
YES Donna Rosson, Health, for Dr. William Burgin, Health, Public Health Authority
YES Sally Farris, Home Owners

Quorum Reached (≥51%) = Yes

Non-CARP members in attendance include Sandra Heatherly (CARP alternate Home Owners), Brien Nicolau, Alex Nunez, Ismael Nava, Teresa Carrillo, Jay Reining, Lois Huff, Roland Martinez, Joelle Francois, and Shelby O’Brien.

There was discussion about the need for CBBF to correct any errors on CBBF’s website about CARP membership and alternates. Questions were related to Patrick Foley, who has not been attending since last summer, and his alternate, and whether the need for an alternate for Jim Needham, Tourism position.

It was moved (Sally Farris) and seconded (Jace Tunnell) that Jay Reining be added as alternate for environment, Jim Klein’s position.

III. ACTION ITEM. Consider approval of 1/14/14 Meeting Summary (Attachment A).

It was moved (Tim Stephens) and seconded (Jim Klein) to accept the Draft Summary of January 14, 2014 meeting after corrections.

IV. Discussion Item. Review the City’s revised matrix of proposed tiered approach for CARP’s Implementation Plan.

Luz Lumb led the discussion of the City of Corpus Christi’s revised tiered activities document. There were questions from CARP members for the need to add so many items to tier 3, pushing their implementation to 10 years and beyond.
Sally Farris asked Danielle Converse what level of review the document has had from the Legal Department, and disclaimer at the end. Ms. Converse stated that so far only CARP members have reviewed the document, which includes Bill Green.

Jace Tunnell suggested that it may be helpful to state which tier the activity would be started in and which tier it would be finished in, for clarification. For example, an activity might be started in tier one, but finished in tier 3. This would make more sense than putting the items all in tier 3. There was also a question for the need to put Public Service Announcements in tier 3, and it was suggested they might be done sooner than that.

Ms. Converse reminded CARP members they would have no control when City Council would implement items, such as the Stormwater Master Plan.

Mr. Tunnell spoke of the need to implement the bacteria source tracking right away, and questioned the placement of this activity in tier 2. He reminded CARP that with the City’s consent they could get some money for the activity from CBBEP, and that this would demonstrate to TCEQ that CARP looked at this activity as a priority. Others agreed that it could be started right away, and there wouldn’t be a need to put an end date.

Mr. Tunnell and others like the disclaimer [at the end of the City’s version], which would mean CARP could keep all the activities and nothing would be lost. We could go through all the chapters, create a time frame.

Jay Reining reminded CARP that the original thought from the City was to start with testing in the smaller basins before moving to the big ones.

CARP members also questioned waiting 10 years to post simple signage. Discussion followed on wastewater activities starting in tier 3, and nothing on stormwater. Work on pet waste could be on going.

Luz Lumb also questioned the need for 10 plus years to change sampling dates/days, and suggested the tiered approach may need a little more finesse. Changes might include a planning phase, a funding search phase, and then perhaps an implementation phase.

It was discussed that it would be better to complete bacteria source tracking before starting another activity. But within scope of work could put in each one of these could put planning and fund raising.

Ms. Lumb said she understands about council concerns, and Ms. Converse explained Council has to meet and then they have to get stakeholders. Ms. Lumb also said CARP needs to figure out whether these are completion or starting goals.

Jim Klein suggested that this document [from the city] is an initial offer and CARP can make a counter offer. CARP needs to negotiate, find some middle ground, or the City should give justification for their placement of activities in the tiers that way.
Mr. Tunnell discussed the suggestion of developing initiation tiers, and completion tiers. Mr. Reining said that when looking at ordinances you just review. For example, with Low impact development, would mean looking to see if anything is missing. In 5 years we can go back and look at if we started and finished in that time period.

Sandra Heatherly asked if CARP can offer a counter? Most agreed Yes. Ms. Lumb mentioned again the planning, initiation, and completion tiers idea.

Mr. Tunnell asked if CARP wanted to start with the first chapter or do the wastewater and stormwater. Ms. Converse said we would understand more clearly as we got into each proposed activity and that we might have to go back to the Work Groups. Donna Rossin said that not all the Work Groups came up with an amount, and that is very important. Jay Reining advised with all the new people in the City it would be good to do presentations to them. Ms. Rosson suggested that inexpensive projects might be moved up when work groups give cost estimates. Mr. Reining said signage would be very inexpensive.

Mr. Tunnell proposed a work schedule for CARP. March City infrastructure, (wastewater and stormwater), April education and outreach, May ordinance and regulation, and June, monitoring and research. In August CARP could have a draft to TCEQ. First the City must go through and determine any problems they have, and then get it to City Council.

Ms. Lumb said all need to read the I-Plan and decide whether their stakeholders need any changes. All CARP should go to their stakeholders and bring back any proposed changes, so we can then get consensus and submit to TCEQ for approval. CBBF will put the final document together and submit to TCEQ for approval.

Ms. Farris reiterated CBBF would coordinate the changes, CBBF (TAC), and that we would use “track changes” (TAC yes). Smiley Nava asked CARP to compress the schedule if possible and have a draft by June 2014. Mr. Reining reminded CARP that if it has to go before City Council that takes a lot of time, and is a critical aspect (for funding, too). A lengthy discussion followed about the timeline. Ms. Converse said it could be presented to Council in June or July and then have a draft to TCEQ in August. Mr. Nava stated the TCEQ would like to have this taken care of before the end of the FY2014. Sharon Bailey Lewis asked who would be the signatories on the final document. Mr. Tunnell said we need letters of support from the stakeholders, such as CBBEP or Surfriders. Mr. Reining believes it will take some time to get all the signatures from the stakeholder groups. Ms. Lewis asked about the status of the TMDL. Teresa Carrillo said she would report back to CARP on that as soon as she talks to the Center for Coastal Studies.

There followed more discussion on the schedule for review of activities, and costs, with acknowledgment that bigger costs will take more years.

It was moved that the March meeting address wastewater and stormwater drainage (Jim Klein), and that April address Education and Outreach, and May address Ordinance and Regulations, and June address Monitoring and Research, and seconded (Tim Stephens). The motion passed.
V. Discussion Item. CARP Video Progress.
   The video work group would meet February 12 at noon in the CBBF conference room. Chip
   Morris is in town this week to do several interviews and progress continues.

VI. ACTION ITEM. Select next CARP meeting date.
   A DOODLE poll will be sent out for the days of March 19, 20, or 21st.

VII. Other Issues
   3 minutes for Public Comments

VIII. Public Comment
   3 minutes for Public Comments

IX. Adjourn
   4:50 pm